arXiv:1511.00539v1 [math.AP] 2 Nov 2015

GEVERY REGULARITY WITH WEIGHT FOR INCOMPRESSIBLE EULER EQUATION IN THE HALF PLANE

FENG CHENG, WEI-XI LI, AND CHAO-JIANG XU

Abstract. In this work we prove the weighted Gevrey regularity of solutions to the incompressible Euler equation with initial data decaying polynomially at infinity. This is motivated by the well-posedness problem of vertical boundary layer equation for fast rotating fluid. The method presented here is based on the basic weighted L^2- estimate, and the main difficulty arises from the estimate on the pressure term due to the appearance of weight function.

1. Introduction

In this paper we study the Gevrey propagation of solutions to incompressible Euler equation. Gevrey class is a stronger concept than the C^∞-smoothness. In fact it is an intermediate space between analytic space and C^∞ space. There have been extensive mathematical investigations (cf.[2], [7], [8], [9] [10], [11], [13], [14], [15] for instance and the references therein) on Euler equation in different kind of frames, such as Sobolev space, analytic space and Gevrey space. In this work we will consider the problems of Gevrey regularity with weight, and this is motivated by the study of the vertical boundary layer problem introduced in [1] which remains still open up to now. The related and preliminary work for the well-posedness of vertical boundary problem is to establish the Gevrey regularty with weight, and this is the main result of the present paper. In the future work we hope to investigate the vertical boundary layer problem, basing on the weighted Gevrey regularity of Euler equations.

Without loss of generality, we consider the incompressible Euler equation in half plane $\mathbb{R}^2_+$, where $\mathbb{R}^2_+ = \{(x,y); x \in \mathbb{R}, y \in \mathbb{R}^+\}$, and our results can be generalized to 3-D Euler equation. The velocity $(u(t,x,y), v(t,x,y))$ and the pressure $p(t,x,y)$ satisfy the following equation:

$$\partial_t u + u\partial_x u + v\partial_y u + \partial_x p = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2_+ \times (0,\infty), \tag{1.1}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35M33, 35Q31, 76N10.

Key words and phrases. Gevery class, incompressible Euler equation, weight Sobolev space.

$$\partial_t v + u\partial_x v + v\partial_y v + \partial_y p = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2_+ \times (0,\infty), \tag{1.2}$$

$$\partial_x u + \partial_y v = 0, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2_+ \times (0,\infty), \tag{1.3}$$

$$v\big|_{y=0} = 0, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times (0,\infty), \tag{1.4}$$

with initial data

$$u|_{t=0} = u_0, \quad v|_{t=0} = v_0, \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^2_+ \times \{t=0\}. \tag{1.5}$$

Here the initial data (u_0, v_0) satisfy the compatibility condition:

$$\partial_x u_0 + \partial_y v_0 = 0; \quad v_0|_{y=0} = 0.$$

Before stating our main result we first introduce the (global) weighted Gevrey space.

Definition 1.1. *Let $\ell_x, \ell_y \geq 0$ be real constants that independent of x, y, we say that $f \in G^s_{\tau,\ell_x,\ell_y}(\mathbb{R}^2_+)$ if*

$$\sup_{|\alpha|\geq 0} \frac{\tau^\alpha}{|\alpha|!^s} \left\| \langle x\rangle^{\ell_x} \langle y\rangle^{\ell_y} \partial^\alpha f \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2_+)} < \infty,$$

where and throughout the paper we use the notation $\langle\cdot\rangle = (1 + |\cdot|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

In this work we present the persistence of weighted Gevrey class regularity of the solution, i.e., we prove that if the initial datum (u_0, v_0) is in some weighted Gevrey space and satisfy the compatible condition, then the global solution belongs to the same space. With only minor changes, these results can also extend to 3-D Euler equation, and the global solution here will be replaced by a local solution. Precisely,

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose the initial data $u_0 \in G^s_{\tau_0,0,\ell_y}, v_0 \in G^s_{\tau_0,\ell_x,0}$ for some $s \geq 1, \tau_0 > 0$ and $0 \leq \ell_x, \ell_y \leq 1$. Then the Euler equation (1.1)-(1.5) admits a solution u, v, p such that*

$$u \in L^\infty([0,+\infty);\ G^s_{\tau,0,\ell_y}), \quad \text{and } v \in L^\infty([0,+\infty);\ G^s_{\tau,\ell_x,0}),$$

and p satisfies

$$\partial_x p \in L^\infty([0,+\infty);\ G^s_{\tau,0,\ell_y}) \quad \partial_y p \in L^\infty([0,+\infty);\ G^s_{\tau,\ell_x,0}),$$

where $\tau > 0$ depends on the initial radius τ_0.

We remark that the existence of smooth solutions to (1.1)-(1.5) is well developed (cf.[2], [7], [10], [13], [15] for instance), and in two-dimensional case smooth initial data can yield global solutions, while in the three-dimensional case the solution may be local in general condition. The appearance of the weight function increases the difficulty of estimating the pressure term, and for this part it is different from

[8]. We also point out that in the whole space $\mathbb{R}^2$ or two dimensional torus $\mathbb{T}^2$, the classical approach to analyticity or Gevrey regularity is that it makes crucial use of Fourier transformation, which can't apply to our case. Instead we will use the basic L^2 estimate (c.f. [3, 4, 5, 6, 8] for instance).

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we introduce the notation used to define the weighted Sobolev norms, and we prove the persistence of the weighted Sobolev regularity. In section 3, we state the priori estimate to prove the main theorem. Section 4 and 5 are consist of the proofs of these lemmas.

2. Notations and Preliminaries

In the following context, we use the conventional symbols for the standard Sobolev spaces $H^m(\mathbb{R}^2_+)$ with $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $\|\cdot\|_{H^m}$ be its norm. For the case $m = 0$, it was usually written as $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2_+)$. Denote $\|\cdot\|_{L^2}$ and $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ be the norm and inner product in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2_+)$. We usually write a vector function in bold type as $\mathbf{u}$ and a scalar function in it's conventional way as u. For a vector function $\mathbf{u} = (u, v)$ we denote

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^m} = \sqrt{\|u\|^2_{H^m} + \|v\|^2_{H^m}}.$$

And when we say that $\mathbf{u} \in H^m$, we mean that $u, v \in H^m$.

With the notations above, we introduce the weighted Sobolev spaces $H^m_{\ell_x}(\mathbb{R}^2_+)$ and $H^m_{\ell_y}(\mathbb{R}^2_+)$, where ℓ_x, ℓ_y are real constants. Let

$$H^m_{\ell_x}(\mathbb{R}^2_+) = \left\{ v \in H^m(\mathbb{R}^2_+); \quad \langle x\rangle^{\ell_x} \partial^\alpha v \in L^2, 1 \le |\alpha| \le m \right\},$$

and it's norm is defined by

$$\|v\|_{H^m_{\ell_x}} = \sqrt{\|v\|^2_{L^2} + \sum_{1\le|\alpha|\le m} \left\| \langle x\rangle^{\ell_x} \partial^\alpha v \right\|^2_{L^2}}.$$

Similarly, let

$$H^m_{\ell_y}(\mathbb{R}^2_+) = \left\{ u \in H^m(\mathbb{R}^2_+); \quad \langle y\rangle^{\ell_y} \partial^\alpha u \in L^2, 1 \le |\alpha| \le m \right\},$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_{H^m_{\ell_y}} = \sqrt{\|u\|^2_{L^2} + \sum_{1\le|\alpha|\le m} \left\| \langle y\rangle^{\ell_y} \partial^\alpha u \right\|^2_{L^2}}.$$

We then define space $H^m_{\ell_x,\ell_y}$ of vector functions by

$$H^m_{\ell_x,\ell_y} = \left\{ \mathbf{u} = (u, v) \in H^m_{\ell_x,\ell_y} : u \in H^m_{\ell_y}, v \in H^m_{\ell_x} \right\},$$

which is equipped with the norm

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^m_{\ell_x,\ell_y}} = \sqrt{\|u\|^2_{H^m_{\ell_y}} + \|v\|^2_{H^m_{\ell_x}}}.$$

It's well known that the corresponding Cauchy problem to (1.1)-(1.5) is globally well posed in H^k if $k > 2$ with dimension $d = 2$, see e.g.[[12], Chapter 17, Section 2] and

$$\|\mathbf{u(t)}\|_{H^m} \leq \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{H^m} \exp\left(C_0 \int_0^t \|\nabla \mathbf{u(s)}\|_{L^\infty}\, ds\right)$$

Where C_0 is a constant depending on $\mathbf{u}_0$.

Now we will show that if the initial data $\mathbf{u}_0 \in H^m_{\ell_x,\ell_y}$ for $0 \leq \ell_x, \ell_y \leq 1$, the solution is also in $H^m_{\ell_x,\ell_y}$. This is the first step for the Gevery regularity.

Proposition 2.1. *For fixed $m \geq 3$ and $0 \leq \ell_x, \ell_y \leq 1$, let the initial data $\mathbf{u}_0 \in H^m_{\ell_x,\ell_y}(\mathbb{R}^2_+)$ and suppose the compatibility condition is fulfilled. Then the H^m-solution $\mathbf{u}$ to the Euler equation (1.1)-(1.5) is also in weighted Sobolev space:*

$$\mathbf{u}(t) \in C\left([0,\infty);\ H^m_{\ell_x,\ell_y}(\mathbb{R}^2_+)\right).$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{H^m_{\ell_x,\ell_y}} \leq \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{H^m_{\ell_x,\ell_y}} \exp\Big[C_0 \int_0^t \Big(&\|\mathbf{u}(s)\|_{L^\infty} + \left\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y} \nabla u(s)\right\|_{L^\infty} \\ &+ \left\|\langle x\rangle^{\ell_x} \nabla v(s)\right\|_{L^\infty}\Big)\, ds\Big],\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where C_0 is a constant depending on m.

Proof. It suffices to show (2.1) holds. We begin with proving a priori estimate. First we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\|u(t)\|^2_{L^2} + \|v(t)\|^2_{L^2}\right) = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

Now let $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^2_0$ be the multi-index such that $1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m$. We apply ∂^α on both sides of (1.1) and take L^2 inner product with $\langle y\rangle^{2\ell_y} \partial^\alpha u$

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y} \partial^\alpha u\right\|^2_{L^2} + \left\langle \langle y\rangle^{\ell_y} \partial^\alpha(\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla u), \langle y\rangle^{\ell_y} \partial^\alpha u\right\rangle + \left\langle \langle y\rangle^{\ell_y} \partial^\alpha \partial_x p, \langle y\rangle^{\ell_y} \partial^\alpha u\right\rangle = 0. \tag{2.3}$$

And similarly for (1.2) taking L^2 inner product with $\langle x\rangle^{2\ell_x} \partial^\alpha v$,

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left\|\langle x\rangle^{\ell_x} \partial^\alpha v\right\|^2_{L^2} + \left\langle \langle x\rangle^{\ell_x} \partial^\alpha(\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla v), \langle x\rangle^{\ell_x} \partial^\alpha v\right\rangle + \left\langle \langle x\rangle^{\ell_x} \partial^\alpha \partial_y p, \langle x\rangle^{\ell_x} \partial^\alpha v\right\rangle = 0. \tag{2.4}$$

Taking sum over $1 \le |\alpha| \le m$ in (2.3) and (2.4), and combining (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\mathbf{u}(t)\|^2_{H^m_{\ell_x,\ell_y}} + \sum_{1\le|\alpha|\le m}\Big[\Big\langle \langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\partial^\alpha(\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla u), \langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\partial^\alpha u\Big\rangle + \\
&\Big\langle \langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}\partial^\alpha(\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla v), \langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}\partial^\alpha v\Big\rangle\Big] + \sum_{1\le|\alpha|\le m}\Big[\Big\langle \langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\partial^\alpha\partial_x p, \langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\partial^\alpha u\Big\rangle \qquad (2.5)\\
&+\Big\langle \langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}\partial^\alpha\partial_y p, \langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}\partial^\alpha v\Big\rangle\Big] = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

It remains to estimate I_1 and I_2, with I_j defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{I}_1 &= \sum_{1\le|\alpha|\le m}\Big[\Big\langle \langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\partial^\alpha(\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla u), \langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\partial^\alpha u\Big\rangle + \Big\langle \langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}\partial^\alpha(\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla v), \langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}\partial^\alpha v\Big\rangle\Big],\\
\mathrm{I}_2 &= \sum_{1\le|\alpha|\le m}\Big[\Big\langle \langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\partial^\alpha\partial_x p, \langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\partial^\alpha u\Big\rangle + \Big\langle \langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}\partial^\alpha\partial_y p, \langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}\partial^\alpha v\Big\rangle\Big].
\end{aligned}$$

The estimate on I_1:

Using Hölder inequality and divergence-free condition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathrm{I}|_1 \le \|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^m_{\ell_x,\ell_y}} \sum_{1\le|\alpha|\le m}\Big[&\Big\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\partial^\alpha(\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla u) - \mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla(\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\partial^\alpha u)\Big\|_{L^2}\\
&+\Big\|\langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}\partial^\alpha(\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla v) - \mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla(\langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}\partial^\alpha v)\Big\|_{L^2}\Big].
\end{aligned}$$

Note the fact that $|\partial^\beta \langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}|, |\partial^\beta \langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}| \le C_m$ for $1 \le \beta \le \alpha$ and C_m depending on m, then the weight function can be put in the bracket. And with the application of [12, (3.22),Chapter 13, Section 3] we have

$$|\mathrm{I}_1| \le C\left(\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty} + \Big\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\nabla u\Big\|_{L^\infty} + \Big\|\langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}\nabla v\Big\|_{L^\infty}\right)\|\mathbf{u}\|^2_{H^m_{\ell_x,\ell_y}}. \qquad (2.6)$$

The estimate on I_2:

In order to estimate I_2, we need to use Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 5.3 in Section 5. Observe p satisfies the following Neumann problem.

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta p = 2(\partial_y u)\partial_x v - 2(\partial_x u)\partial_y v \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2_+ \times \{0,\infty\},\\ \partial_y p|_{y=0} = 0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}\times\{0,\infty\}. \end{cases}$$

We proceed to estimate I_2 through two cases.

(a). If $|\alpha| = 1$, then we use Lemma 5.1 and classical argument of H^2-regularity result of the above Neumann problem, to get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{|\alpha|=1} \left(\left\| \langle y \rangle^{\ell_y} \partial_x \partial^\alpha p \right\|_{L^2} + \left\| \langle x \rangle^{\ell_x} \partial_y \partial^\alpha p \right\|_{L^2} \right) \\
&\le C \left\| \langle y \rangle^{\ell_y} \partial_y u \partial_x v \right\|_{L^2} + C \left\| \langle y \rangle^{\ell_y} \partial_x u \partial_y v \right\|_{L^2} + C \left\| \langle x \rangle^{\ell_x} \partial_y u \partial_x v \right\|_{L^2} \\
&+ C \left\| \langle x \rangle^{\ell_x} \partial_x u \partial_y v \right\|_{L^2} + C \left\| \partial_x p \right\|_{L^2} + C \left\| \partial_y p \right\|_{L^2} \\
&\le C \left\| \mathbf{u} \right\|_{\mathrm{H}^m_{\ell_x,\ell_y}} \left\| \nabla \mathbf{u} \right\|_{L^\infty},
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the Hodge decomposition of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2_+)$ to estimate $\|\nabla p\|_{L^2}$ and C is a constant.

(b). If $2 \le |\alpha| = k \le m$, then we use Lemma 5.3 and similar arguments as [12, Proposition 3.6, Chapter 13, Section 3]; this gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{2\le|\alpha|\le m} \left(\left\| \langle y \rangle^{\ell_y} \partial_x \partial^\alpha p \right\|_{L^2} + \left\| \langle x \rangle^{\ell_x} \partial_y \partial^\alpha p \right\|_{L^2} \right) \\
&\le C \sum_{k=2}^{m} \sum_{|\beta|=k-1} \left(\left\| \langle y \rangle^{\ell_y} \partial^\beta (\partial_y u \partial_x v) \right\|_{L^2} + \left\| \langle y \rangle^{\ell_y} \partial^\beta (\partial_x u \partial_y v) \right\|_{L^2} \right. \\
&\left. + \left\| \langle x \rangle^{\ell_x} \partial^\beta (\partial_y u \partial_x v) \right\|_{L^2} + \left\| \langle x \rangle^{\ell_x} \partial^\beta (\partial_x u \partial_y v) \right\|_{L^2} \right) + C \sum_{k=2}^{m} \left(\left\| \partial_x^{k-2} (\partial_y u \partial_x v) \right\|_{L^2} \right. \\
&\left. + \left\| \partial_x^{k-2} (\partial_x u \partial_y v) \right\|_{L^2} \right) \\
&\le C \left\| \mathbf{u} \right\|_{\mathrm{H}^m_{\ell_x,\ell_y}} \left(\left\| \langle y \rangle^{\ell_y} \nabla u \right\|_{L^\infty} + \left\| \langle x \rangle^{\ell_x} \nabla v \right\|_{L^\infty} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we combine the above two cases to conclude that

$$|\mathrm{I}_2| \le C \left\| \mathbf{u} \right\|^2_{H^m_{\ell_x,\ell_y}} \left(\left\| \mathbf{u} \right\|_{L^\infty} + \left\| \langle y \rangle^{\ell_y} \nabla u \right\|_{L^\infty} + \left\| \langle x \rangle^{\ell_x} \nabla v \right\|_{L^\infty} \right), \tag{2.7}$$

where C is a constant depending only on m.

And then by (2.5), (2.6) and (2.7), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left\| \mathbf{u}(t) \right\|_{H^m_{\ell_x,\ell_y}} \le C \left(\left\| \mathbf{u} \right\|_{L^\infty} + \left\| \langle y \rangle^{\ell_y} \nabla u \right\|_{L^\infty} + \left\| \langle x \rangle^{\ell_x} \nabla v \right\|_{L^\infty} \right) \left\| \mathbf{u}(t) \right\|_{H^m_{\ell_x,\ell_y}}$$

Then with Grownwall inequality we obtain (2.1).

Now consider $u \in H^m$. Repeating the above arguments with $\langle y \rangle^{\ell_y}$ and $\langle x \rangle^{\ell_x}$ replaced, respectively, by

$$\frac{\langle y \rangle^{\ell_y}}{\langle \varepsilon y \rangle^{\ell_y}}, \quad \frac{\langle x \rangle^{\ell_x}}{\langle \varepsilon x \rangle^{\ell_x}}$$

where $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, then we can also deduce (2.1) by letting $\varepsilon \to 0$. We complete the proof of the proposition. □

3. Weighted Gevrey regularity

We inherit the notations that used in [8] for X_τ and Y_τ. That is to say for a multi-index $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ in $\mathbb{N}^2$, and a vector function $\mathbf{u} = (u, v)$, define the Sobolev and semi-norms as follows:

$$|\mathbf{u}|_{m,\ell_x,\ell_y} = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \left(\left\| \langle y \rangle^{\ell_y} \partial^\alpha u \right\|_{L^2} + \left\| \langle x \rangle^{\ell_x} \partial^\alpha v \right\|_{L^2} \right),$$

$$|\mathbf{u}|_{m,\ell_x,\ell_y,\infty} = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \left(\left\| \langle y \rangle^{\ell_y} \partial^\alpha u \right\|_{L^\infty} + \left\| \langle x \rangle^{\ell_x} \partial^\alpha v \right\|_{L^\infty} \right),$$

where $|\mathbf{u}|_m = |\mathbf{u}|_{m,0,0}$ and $|\mathbf{u}|_{m,\infty} = |\mathbf{u}|_{m,0,0,\infty}$.

For $s \geq 1$ and $\tau > 0$, define a new weighted Gevrey spaces, which is equivalent to that in Definition 1.1, by

$$X_{\tau,\ell_x,\ell_y} = \left\{ \mathbf{u} \in C^\infty : \|\mathbf{u}\|_{X_{\tau,\ell_x,\ell_y}} < \infty \right\},$$

where

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{X_{\tau,\ell_x,\ell_y}} = \sum_{m=3}^{\infty} |\mathbf{u}|_{m,\ell_x,\ell_y} \frac{\tau^{m-3}}{(m-3)!^s}.$$

And let

$$Y_{\tau,\ell_x,\ell_y} = \left\{ \mathbf{u} \in C^\infty : \|\mathbf{u}\|_{Y_{\tau,\ell_x,\ell_y}} < \infty \right\},$$

where

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{Y_{\tau,\ell_x,\ell_y}} = \sum_{m=3}^{\infty} |\mathbf{u}|_{m,\ell_x,\ell_y} \frac{(m-3)\tau^{m-4}}{(m-3)!^s}.$$

We will denote $X_\tau = X_{\tau,0,0}$ and $Y_\tau = Y_{\tau,0,0}$.

In order to show the main result, Theorem 1.2, it suffices to show the following

Theorem 3.1. *Let the initial data $\mathbf{u}_0 = (u_0, v_0)$ satisfy*

$$\mathbf{u}_0 \in X_{\tau_0,\ell_x,\ell_y}$$

for some $s \geq 1, \tau_0 > 0$ and $0 \leq \ell_x, \ell_y \leq 1$. Then the Euler system (1.1)-(1.3) admits a solution

$$\mathbf{u}(t) \in C([0,\infty);\ X_{\tau(t),\ell_x,\ell_y}),$$

where $\tau(t)$ depends on the initial radius τ_0.

We will prove Theorem 3.1 using the method of [8], with main difference from the estimate on pressure. By Proposition 2.1 we see $\|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^m_{\ell_x,\ell_y}} < +\infty$ for each m. With notations above we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{X_{\tau(t),\ell_x,\ell_y}} = \dot{\tau} \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{Y_{\tau(t),\ell_x,\ell_y}} + \sum_{m=3}^{\infty} \frac{d}{dt} |\mathbf{u}(t)|_{m,\ell_x,\ell_y} \frac{\tau(t)^{m-3}}{(m-3)!^s}. \tag{3.1}$$

Recalling from (2.4) and (2.5) and using Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\left|\mathbf{u}(t)\right|_{m,\ell_x,\ell_y} \le & \sum_{|\alpha|=m}\sum_{\beta\le\alpha,\beta\neq 0}\binom{\alpha}{\beta}\left(\left\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\,\partial^{\beta}\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\partial^{\alpha-\beta}u\right\|_{L^2}\right.\\ &\left.+\left\|\langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}\,\partial^{\beta}\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\partial^{\alpha-\beta}v\right\|_{L^2}\right)+\sum_{|\alpha|=m}\left(\left\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\,\partial_x\partial^{\alpha}p\right\|_{L^2}\right.\\ &\left.+\left\|\langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}\,\partial_y\partial^{\alpha}p\right\|_{L^2}\right)+\left\|\mathbf{u}\right\|_{L^\infty}\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_m.\end{aligned}$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}=\sum_{m=3}^{\infty}\sum_{|\alpha|=m}\sum_{\beta\le\alpha,\beta\neq 0}\binom{\alpha}{\beta}&\left(\left\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\,\partial^{\beta}\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\partial^{\alpha-\beta}u\right\|_{L^2}\right.\\ &\left.+\left\|\langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}\,\partial^{\beta}\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\partial^{\alpha-\beta}v\right\|_{L^2}\right)\frac{\tau^{m-3}}{(m-3)!^s}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{P}=\sum_{m=3}^{\infty}\sum_{|\alpha|=m}\left(\left\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\,\partial_x\partial^{\alpha}p\right\|_{L^2}+\left\|\langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}\,\partial_y\partial^{\alpha}p\right\|_{L^2}\right)\frac{\tau^{m-3}}{(m-3)!^s}.$$

Combined with (3.1), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left\|\mathbf{u}(t)\right\|_{X_{\tau(t),\ell_x,\ell_y}}\le\dot{\tau}\left\|\mathbf{u}(t)\right\|_{Y_{\tau(t),\ell_x,\ell_y}}+\mathcal{C}+\mathcal{P}+C\tau\left\|\mathbf{u}\right\|_{L^\infty}\left\|\mathbf{u}\right\|_{Y_\tau}. \tag{3.2}$$

We give the following Lemma to estimate $\mathcal{C}$, the proof is postponed to Section 4.

Lemma 3.2. *There exists a sufficiently large constant $C>0$ such that*

$$\mathcal{C}\le C\left(\mathcal{C}_1+\mathcal{C}_2\left\|\mathbf{u}\right\|_{Y_{\tau,\ell_x,\ell_y}}\right),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}_1=&\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_{1,\ell_x,\ell_y,\infty}\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_{3,\ell_x,\ell_y}+\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_{2,\infty}\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_{2,\ell_x,\ell_y}+\tau\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_{2,\ell_x,\ell_y,\infty}\left|u\right|_{3,\ell_x,\ell_y}\\ &+\tau^2\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_3\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_{3,\ell_x,\ell_y,\infty}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}_2=\tau\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_{1,\ell_x,\ell_y,\infty}+\tau^2\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_{2,\ell_x,\ell_y,\infty}+\tau^2\left\|\mathbf{u}\right\|_{X_{\tau,\ell_x,\ell_y}}+\tau^3\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_{3,\ell_x,\ell_y,\infty}.$$

The following lemmas shall be used to estimate $\mathcal{P}$. The proof is postponed to Section 5 below.

Lemma 3.3. *There exists a sufficiently large constant $C>0$ such that*

$$\mathcal{P}_{\ell_1,\ell_2}\le C\left(\mathcal{P}_1+\mathcal{P}_2\left\|\mathbf{u}\right\|_{Y_{\tau,\ell_x,\ell_y}}\right),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}_1 &= |\mathbf{u}|_{1,\ell_x,\ell_y,\infty} |\mathbf{u}|_{3,\ell_x,\ell_y} + |\mathbf{u}|_{2,\ell_x,\ell_y,\infty} |\mathbf{u}|_{2,\ell_x,\ell_y} + |\mathbf{u}|_{1,\ell_x,\ell_y,\infty} |\mathbf{u}|_{2,\ell_x,\ell_y} \\ &\quad + \tau \left(|\mathbf{u}|_{2,\ell_x,\ell_y,\infty} |\mathbf{u}|_{3,\ell_x,\ell_y} + |\mathbf{u}|_{1,\ell_x,\ell_y,\infty} |\mathbf{u}|_{3,\ell_x,\ell_y} + |\mathbf{u}|_{2,\ell_x,\ell_y,\infty} |u|_{2,\ell_x,\ell_y} \right) \\ &\quad + \tau^2 |\mathbf{u}|_{2,\ell_x,\ell_y,\infty} |\mathbf{u}|_{3,\ell_x,\ell_y} + \tau^3 |\mathbf{u}|_{3,\ell_x,\ell_y,\infty} |\mathbf{u}|_{3,\ell_x,\ell_y} ,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}_2 &= \tau |\mathbf{u}|_{1,\ell_x,\ell_y,\infty} + \tau^2 \left(|\mathbf{u}|_{2,\ell_x,\ell_y,\infty} + |\mathbf{u}|_{1,\ell_x,\ell_y,\infty} \right) + \tau^3 \left(|\mathbf{u}|_{3,\ell_x,\ell_y,\infty} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + |\mathbf{u}|_{2,\ell_x,\ell_y,\infty} \right) + \left(\tau^2 + \tau^{5/2} + \tau^3 \right) \|\mathbf{u}\|_{X_{\tau,\ell_x,\ell_y}} + \tau^4 |\mathbf{u}|_{3,\ell_x,\ell_y,\infty} .\end{aligned}$$

Let $m \geq 6$ be fixed. With Sobolev embedding theorem and the lemmas above and (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{X_{\tau(t),\ell_x,\tau_y}} &\leq \dot{\tau}(t) \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{Y_{\tau(t),\ell_x,\ell_y}} + C(1+\tau(t)^3) \|\mathbf{u}\|^2_{H^m_{\ell_x,\ell_y}} \\ &\quad + C\tau(t) \left(\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty} + |\mathbf{u}|_{1,\ell_x,\ell_y,\infty} \right) \|\mathbf{u}\|_{Y_{\tau,\ell_x,\ell_y}} \\ &\quad + C(\tau(t)^2 + \tau(t)^4) \|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^m_{\ell_x,\ell_y}} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{Y_{\tau,\ell_x,\ell_y}} \\ &\quad + C(\tau(t)^2 + \tau(t)^3) \|\mathbf{u}\|_{X_{\tau(t),\ell_x,\ell_y}} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{Y_{\tau,\ell_x,\ell_y}} ,\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where the constant C is independent of u, v. If $\tau(t)$ decreases fast enough such that

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\tau}(t) + C\tau(t) \left(\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty} + |\mathbf{u}|_{1,\ell_x,\ell_y,\infty} \right) + C(\tau(t)^2 + \tau(t)^4) \|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^m_{\ell_x,\ell_y}} \\ + C(\tau(t)^2 + \tau(t)^3) \|\mathbf{u}\|_{X_{\tau,\ell_x,\ell_y}} \leq 0.\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Then (3.3) implies

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{X_{\tau(t),\ell_x,\ell_y}} \leq C(1+\tau(0)^3) \|\mathbf{u}\|^2_{H^m_{\ell_x,\ell_y}} .$$

Therefore

$$\|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{X_{\tau(t),\ell_x,\ell_y}} \leq \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{X_{\tau_0,\ell_x,\ell_y}} + C_{\tau(0)} \int_0^t \|\mathbf{u}(s)\|^2_{H^m_{\ell_x,\ell_y}} ds$$

for all $0 < t < \infty$, where $C_{\tau(0)} = C\left(1+\tau(0)^3\right)$. As τ is chosen to be a decrease function, a sufficient condition for (3.4) to hold is that

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\tau}(t) + C \left(\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty} + |\mathbf{u}(t)|_{1,\ell_x,\ell_y,\infty} \right) \tau(t) \\ + C\tau(t)^2 \left[C'_{\tau(0)} \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{H^m_{\ell_x,\ell_y}} + C''_{\tau(0)} M(t) \right] \leq 0,\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where $C'_{\tau(0)} = \left(1+\tau(0)^2\right), C''_{\tau(0)} = 1+\tau(0)$ and

$$M(t) = \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{X_{\tau_x,\ell_y}} + C_{\tau(0)} \int_0^t \|\mathbf{u}(s)\|^2_{H^m_{\ell_x,\ell_y}} ds.$$

Denote

$$G(t) = \exp\left[C \int_0^t \left(\|\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{s})\|_{L^\infty} + |\mathbf{u}(s)|_{1,\ell_x,\ell_y,\infty} \right) ds \right].$$

By Proposition 2.1 we can choose the constant $C > 0$ is taken largely enough such that

$$\|\mathbf{u}(t)\|^2_{H^m_{\ell_x,\ell_y}} \leq \|\mathbf{u}_0\|^2_{H^m_{\ell_x,\ell_y}} G(t).$$

It then follows that (3.5) is satisfied if we let

$$\tau(t) = G(t)^{-1} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\tau(0)} + C\int_0^t \left[C'_{\tau(0)} \|\mathbf{u}(s)\|_{H^m_{\ell_x,\ell_y}} + C''_{\tau(0)} M(s)\right] G(s)^{-1} ds}.$$

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is complete.

4. THE COMMUTATOR ESTIMATE

In this section we will prove Lemma 3.2, the method here is similar with [8] except for the parts involving the weight function.

Proof of Lemma 3.2. We first write the sum as

$$\mathcal{C} = \sum_{m=3}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mathcal{C}_{m,j},$$

where we denote

$$\mathcal{C}_{m,j} = \frac{\tau^{m-3}}{(m-3)!^s} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \sum_{|\beta|=j,\beta\leq\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \left(\left\| \langle y \rangle^{\ell_y} \partial^\beta \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \partial^{\alpha-\beta} u \right\|_{L^2} + \left\| \langle x \rangle^{\ell_x} \partial^\beta \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \partial^{\alpha-\beta} v \right\|_{L^2} \right).$$

Then we split the right side of the above inequality into seven terms according to the values of m and j, and prove the following estimates.

For small j, we have

$$\sum_{m=3}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}_{m,1} \leq C |\mathbf{u}|_{1,\infty} |\mathbf{u}|_{3,\ell_x,\ell_y} + C\tau |\mathbf{u}|_{1,\ell_x,\ell_y,\infty} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{Y_{\tau,\ell_x,\ell_y}}$$

and

$$\sum_{m=3}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}_{m,2} \leq C |\mathbf{u}|_{2,\infty} |\mathbf{u}|_{2,\ell_x,\ell_y} + C\tau |\mathbf{u}|_{2,\infty} |\mathbf{u}|_{3,\ell_x,\ell_y} + C\tau^2 |\mathbf{u}|_{2,\infty} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{Y_{\tau,\ell_x,\ell_y}}.$$

For intermediate j, we have

$$\sum_{m=6}^{\infty} \sum_{j=3}^{[\frac{m}{2}]} \mathcal{C}_{m,j} \leq C\tau^2 \|\mathbf{u}\|_{X_\tau} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{Y_{\tau,\ell_x,\ell_y}}$$

and

$$\sum_{m=7}^{\infty} \sum_{j=[m/2]+1}^{m-3} \mathcal{C}_{m,j} \leq C(\tau^2 + \tau^{5/2} + \tau^3) \|\mathbf{u}\|_{X_\tau} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{Y_{\tau,\ell_x,\ell_y}} \quad . \tag{4.1}$$

For higher j, we have

$$\sum_{m=5}^{\infty}\mathcal{C}_{m,m-2}\le C\tau^2\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_3\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_{3,\ell_x,\ell_y,\infty}+C\tau^3\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_{3,\ell_x,\ell_y,\infty}\left\|\mathbf{u}\right\|_{Y_\tau},$$

$$\sum_{m=4}^{\infty}\mathcal{C}_{m,m-1}\le C\tau\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_3\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_{2,\ell_x,\ell_y,\infty}+C\tau^2\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_{2,\ell_x,\ell_y,\infty}\left\|\mathbf{u}\right\|_{Y_\tau}$$

and

$$\sum_{m=3}^{\infty}\mathcal{C}_{m,m}\le C\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_{1,\ell_x,\ell_y,\infty}\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_3+C\tau\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_{1,\ell_x,\ell_y,\infty}\left\|\mathbf{u}\right\|_{Y_\tau}.$$

The proof of the above estimates is similar as in [8] and we just point out the difference due to the weight function. The main difference may be caused by the weight function is the estimation of (4.1). Note that for $[m/2]+1\le j\le m-3$, with Hölder inequality and [Proposition 3.8, Chapter 13,Section 3,[12]], one have

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\partial^\beta\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\partial^{\alpha-\beta}u\right\|_{L^2}\le C\left\|\partial^\beta\mathbf{u}\right\|_{L^2}\left\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\nabla\partial^{\alpha-\beta}u\right\|_{L^2}^{1/2}\\ \times\left\|D^2\left(\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\nabla\partial^{\alpha-\beta}u\right)\right\|_{L^2}^{1/2},\end{aligned}\tag{4.2}$$

where we used the notation

$$D^ku=\{\partial^\alpha u:|\alpha|=k\},\qquad\left\|D^ku\right\|_{L^2}=\sum_{|\alpha|=k}\left\|\partial^\alpha u\right\|_{L^2}.$$

Note that by Leibniz formula

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|D^2\left(\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\nabla\partial^{\alpha-\beta}u\right)\right\|_{L^2}\le C\left(\left\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\nabla\partial^{\alpha-\beta}u\right\|_{L^2}+\left\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}D^1\nabla\partial^{\alpha-\beta}u\right\|_{L^2}\right.\\ \left.+\left\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}D^2\nabla\partial^{\alpha-\beta}u\right\|_{L^2}\right)\end{aligned}\tag{4.3}$$

where C is a constant. And here we used the fact that, observing $0\le\ell_y\le1$,

$$|\partial_y^2\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}|\le C,\qquad|\partial_y\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}|\le1,\quad1\le\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y},$$

for some constant C. And similar arguments also applied to $\left\|\langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}\partial^\beta\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\partial^{\alpha-\beta}v\right\|_{L^2}$.

With (4.2) and (4.3) , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{m=7}^{\infty}\sum_{j=[m/2]+1}^{m-3}\mathcal{C}_{m,j}\le C\sum_{m=7}^{\infty}\sum_{j=[m/2]+1}^{m-3}\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_j\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_{m-j+1,\ell_x,\ell_y}^{1/2}\left(\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_{m-j+1,\ell_x,\ell_y}^{1/2}\right.\\ \left.+\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_{m-j+2,\ell_x,\ell_y}^{1/2}+\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_{m-j+3,\ell_x,\ell_y}^{1/2}\right)\binom{m}{j}\frac{\tau^{m-3}}{(m-3)!^s}\end{aligned}$$

And the estimation of the right side of the above inequality is similar as in [8]. So we omit the details here. The proof of Lemma 4.3 is complete. □

5. THE PRESSURE ESTIMATE

It can be deduced from the Euler system (1.1)-(1.3) that the pressure term p satisfies

$$-\Delta p = h(u,v) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2_+, \tag{5.1}$$

where $h(u,v) = 2(\partial_y u)\partial_x v - 2(\partial_x u)\partial_y v$. Take the values of (1.2) on $\partial\mathbb{R}^2_+$ and use (1.4) to obtain

$$\partial_y p|_{y=0} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}^2_+. \tag{5.2}$$

In order to estimate $\left\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\,\partial_x\partial^\alpha p\right\|_{L^2}$ and $\left\|\langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}\,\partial_y\partial^\alpha p\right\|_{L^2}$, we first consider the following Neumann problem, and here we hope to obtain a weighted H^2-regularity result.

Lemma 5.1. *Suppose ϕ is the smooth solution of the following equation with Neumann boundary condition, and $\psi \in \mathrm{C}^\infty$*

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta\phi = \psi \quad \textit{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^2_+, \\ \partial_y\phi\big|_{y=0} = 0 \quad \textit{on } \partial\mathbb{R}^2_+. \end{cases} \tag{5.3}$$

Then there exist a constant C such that for $\forall\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$ with $|\alpha| = 2$

$$\left\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\,\partial^\alpha\phi\right\|_{L^2} \le C\left\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\,\psi\right\|_{L^2} + C\left\|\partial_x\phi\right\|_{L^2}, \tag{5.4}$$

$$\left\|\langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}\,\partial^\alpha\phi\right\|_{L^2} \le C\left\|\langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}\,\psi\right\|_{L^2} + C\left\|\partial_y\phi\right\|_{L^2}, \tag{5.5}$$

$$\left\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\,\partial_y\partial^\alpha\phi\right\|_{L^2} \le C\left\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\,\partial_y\psi\right\|_{L^2} + C\left\|\psi\right\|_{L^2}, \tag{5.6}$$

$$\left\|\langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}\,\partial_y\partial^\alpha\phi\right\|_{L^2} \le C\left\|\langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}\,\partial_y\psi\right\|_{L^2} + C\left\|\psi\right\|_{L^2}. \tag{5.7}$$

Proof. The proof is similar with the classical H^2- regularity arguments. Due to the symmetry it suffices to prove (5.4) and (5.5), since (5.6) and (5.7) can be proved similarly.

The method is to use integration by parts. We first multiply the first equation of (5.3) by $\langle y\rangle^{2\ell_y}\,\partial_{xx}\phi$ and integrate over $\mathbb{R}^2_+$, to obtain

$$\left\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\,\partial_{xx}\phi\right\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+}\langle y\rangle^{2\ell_y}\,\partial_{xx}\phi\partial_{yy}\phi dxdy = -\left\langle\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\,\partial_{xx}\phi, \langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\,\psi\right\rangle.$$

Integrating by parts with the second term, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\,\partial_{xxx}p\right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\,\partial_{xyy}p\right\|_{L^2}^2 &= -\left\langle\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\,\partial_{xx}\phi, \langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\,\psi\right\rangle \\ &\quad - 2\left\langle(\partial_y\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y})\partial_x\phi, \langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\,\partial_{xy}\phi\right\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and noticing that $|\partial_y \langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}| \le 1$ for $0 \le \ell_y \le 1$, we can obtain, for any $0 < \varepsilon, \varepsilon' < 1$,

$$\left\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\partial_{xx}\phi\right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\partial_{xy}\phi\right\|_{L^2}^2 \le C_\epsilon \left\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\psi\right\|_{L^2}^2 + \epsilon\left\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\partial_{xx}\phi\right\|_{L^2}^2 + \epsilon'\left\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\partial_{xy}\phi\right\|_{L^2}^2 + C_{\epsilon'}\left\|\partial_x\phi\right\|_{L^2}^2,$$

and thus

$$\left\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\partial_{xx}\phi\right\|_{L^2} + \left\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\partial_{xy}\phi\right\|_{L^2} \le C\left\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\psi\right\|_{L^2} + C\left\|\partial_x\phi\right\|_{L^2}$$

for some constant $C > 0$. Now if we multiply $\langle y\rangle^{2\ell_y}\partial_{yy}\phi$ on both sides of ((5.3)) and do the procedure as above, we can obtain

$$\left\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\partial_{yy}p\right\|_{L^2} + \left\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\partial_{xy}\phi\right\|_{L^2} \le C\left\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\psi\right\|_{L^2} + C\left\|\partial_x\phi\right\|_{L^2}.$$

Then we have proven (5.4) and (5.5). To prove (5.6) and (5.7), we first apply ∂_y on equation (5.3) to get

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta\partial_y\phi = \partial_y\psi \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2_+, \\ \partial_y\phi\big|_{y=0} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}^2_+. \end{cases} \tag{5.8}$$

And with this Dirichlet equation for $\partial_y\phi$, we can also proceed like before. In this time we multiply $\langle y\rangle^{2\ell_y}\partial_{xxy}\phi$ and $\langle y\rangle^{2\ell_y}\partial_{yyy}\phi$ on both sides of (5.8) and calculate as above, then with the use of the classical H^2 regularity result (5.6) and ((5.7)) can be obtained. □

Remark 5.2. *The terms of order one on right side of (5.4)-(5.5) are created by differentiating on the weight functions $\langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}$ and $\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}$ when integrating by parts. And this is the main reason why we need the constants ℓ_x, ℓ_y to be in the interval $[0, 1]$.*

For higher order regularity estimates, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 5.3. *Suppose g is a smooth solution of*

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta g = f \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2_+, \\ \partial_y g\big|_{y=0} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}^2_+, \end{cases} \tag{5.9}$$

with $f \in C^\infty$. Then there exist a universal constant $C > 0$ such that the following estiamtes

$$\left\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\partial_x\partial^\alpha g\right\|_{L^2} \le C\sum_{\substack{l\in\mathbb{N}_0, |\beta|=m-1 \\ \beta'-\alpha'=2l+1}}\left\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\partial^\beta f\right\|_{L^2} + C\left\|\partial_x^{m-2}f\right\|_{L^2} \tag{5.10}$$

and

$$\left\|\langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}\,\partial_y\partial^\alpha g\right\|_{L^2}\le C\sum_{\substack{l\in\mathbb{N}_0,|\beta|=m-1\\ \beta'-\alpha'=2l}}\left\|\langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}\,\partial^\beta f\right\|_{L^2}+C\left\|\partial_x^{m-2}f\right\|_{L^2}\tag{5.11}$$

hold for any $m\ge 3$ *and any multi-index* $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}_0^2$ *such that* $|\alpha|=m$.

In (5.10) and (5.11) we have summation over the set

$$\{\beta\in\mathbb{N}_0^2:\ |\beta|=m-1,\exists\ l\in\mathbb{N}_0 \text{ such that } \beta'-\alpha'=2l+1\}$$

and

$$\{\beta\in\mathbb{N}_0^2:\ |\beta|=m-1,\exists\ l\in\mathbb{N}_0 \text{ such that } \beta'-\alpha'=2l\},$$

and similar conventions are used throughout this section.

Proof. First by (5.9), we use the following induction equality from [8]:

$$\partial_y^{2k+2}g=(-1)^{k+1}\partial_x^{2k+2}g-\sum_{j=0}^{k}(-1)^{k-j}\partial_x^{2k-2j}\partial_y^{2j}f,\tag{5.12}$$

and applying ∂_y on the above equation gives

$$\partial_y^{2k+3}g=(-1)^{k+1}\partial_x^{2k+2}\partial_y g-\sum_{j=0}^{k}(-1)^{k-j}\partial_x^{2k-2j}\partial_y^{2j+1}f.\tag{5.13}$$

Then for given $|\alpha|=m$, we discuss the situations as the value of α_2 varies.

Case 1. If $\alpha_2=0$ then $\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\,\partial_x\partial^\alpha g=\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\,\partial_x^{m+1}g$ and

$$\langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}\,\partial_y\partial^\alpha g=\langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}\,\partial_y\partial_x^m g$$

Letting $\phi=\partial_x^{m-1}g$ and applying Lemma 5.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\,\partial_x\partial^\alpha g\right\|_{L^2}&\le C\left\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\,\partial_x^{m-1}f\right\|_{L^2}+C\left\|\partial_x^m g\right\|_{L^2}\\&\le C\left\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\,\partial_x^{m-1}f\right\|_{L^2}+C\left\|\partial_x^{m-2}f\right\|_{L^2},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}\,\partial_y\partial^\alpha g\right\|_{L^2}&\le C\left\|\langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}\,\partial_x^{m-1}f\right\|_{L^2}+C\left\|\partial_y\partial_x^{m-1}g\right\|_{L^2}\\&\le C\left\|\langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}\,\partial_x^{m-1}f\right\|_{L^2}+C\left\|\partial_x^{m-2}f\right\|_{L^2}.\end{aligned}$$

In such case, Lemma 5.3 is proved.

Case 2. If $\alpha_2=1$ then $\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\,\partial_x\partial^\alpha g=\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\,\partial_x^m\partial_y g$ and

$$\langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}\,\partial_y\partial^\alpha g=\langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}\,\partial_y^2\partial_x^{m-1}g$$

Letting $\phi=\partial_x^{m-1}g$, we can obtain the same result by Lemma 5.1 as above.

Case 3. If $\alpha_2 = 2k+2 \geq 2$, then by the induction (5.12) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\,\partial_x\partial^\alpha g &= \langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\,\partial_y^{2k+2}\partial_x^{\alpha_1+1} g\\ &= \langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\,(-1)^{k+1}\partial_x^{2k+2}\partial_x^{\alpha_1+1} g - \langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\sum_{j=0}^{k}(-1)^{k-j}\partial_x^{2k-2j}\partial_y^{2j}\partial_x^{\alpha_1+1} f.\end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

Letting $\phi = \partial_x^{2k}\partial_x^{\alpha_1+1} g$, we apply Lemma 5.1 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\,\partial_x^{2k+2}\partial_x^{\alpha_1+1} g\right\|_{L^2} &\leq C\left\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\,\partial_x^{2k}\partial_x^{\alpha_1+1} f\right\|_{L^2} + C\left\|\partial_x^m g\right\|_{L^2}\\ &\leq C\left\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\,\partial_x^{2k}\partial_x^{\alpha_1+1} f\right\|_{L^2} + C\left\|\partial_x^{m-2} f\right\|_{L^2}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

Substituting (5.15) into (5.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\,\partial_x\partial^\alpha g\right\|_{L^2} &\leq C\sum_{j=0}^{k}\left\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\,\partial_x^{2k-2j}\partial_y^{2j}\partial_x^{\alpha_1+1} f\right\|_{L^2} + C\left\|\partial_x^{m-2} f\right\|_{L^2}\\ &\leq C\sum_{\substack{l\in\mathbb{N}_0,|\beta|=m-1\\ \beta'-\alpha'=2l+1}}\left\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\,\partial^\beta f\right\|_{L^2} + C\left\|\partial_x^{m-2} f\right\|_{L^2}.\end{aligned}$$

And similarly from the induction (5.13) equality

$$\begin{aligned}\langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}\,\partial_y\partial^\alpha g &= \langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}\,\partial_y^{2k+3}\partial_x^{\alpha_1} g\\ &= \langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}\,(-1)^{k+1}\partial_x^{2k+2}\partial_y\partial_x^{\alpha_1} g - \langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}\sum_{j=0}^{k}(-1)^{k-j}\partial_x^{2k-2j}\partial_y^{2j+1}\partial_x^{\alpha_1} f.\end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

Letting $\phi = \partial_x^{2k}\partial_x^{\alpha_1} g$, we apply Lemma 5.1 to get

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}\,\partial_x^{2k+2}\partial_y\partial_x^{\alpha_1} g\right\|_{L^2} &\leq C\left\|\langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}\,\partial_x^{2k}\partial_y\partial_x^{\alpha_1} f\right\|_{L^2} + C\left\|\partial_y^2\partial_x^{2k}\partial_x^{\alpha_1} g\right\|_{L^2}\\ &\leq C\left\|\langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}\,\partial_x^{2k}\partial_y\partial_x^{\alpha_1} f\right\|_{L^2} + C\left\|\partial_x^{m-2} f\right\|_{L^2}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.17}$$

Substituting (5.17) into (5.16) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}\,\partial_y\partial^\alpha g\right\|_{L^2} &\leq C\sum_{j=0}^{k}\left\|\langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}\,\partial_x^{2k-2j}\partial_y^{2j+1}\partial_x^{\alpha_1} f\right\|_{L^2} + C\left\|\partial_x^{m-2} f\right\|_{L^2}\\ &\leq C\sum_{\substack{l\in\mathbb{N}_0,|\beta|=m-1\\ \beta'-\alpha'=2l+1}}\left\|\langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}\,\partial^\beta f\right\|_{L^2} + C\left\|\partial_x^{m-2} f\right\|_{L^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus in such case the lemma is also proved.

Case 4. If $\alpha_2 = 2k+3 \geq 3$, then by the induction we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\,\partial_x\partial^\alpha g &= \langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\,\partial_y^{2k+3}\partial_x^{\alpha_1+1} g\\ &= \langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\,(-1)^{k+1}\partial_x^{2k+2}\partial_y\partial_x^{\alpha_1+1} g\\ &\quad - \langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\sum_{j=0}^{k}(-1)^{k-j}\partial_x^{2k-2j}\partial_y^{2j+1}\partial_x^{\alpha_1+1} f.\end{aligned} \tag{5.18}$$

Letting $\phi = \partial_x^{2k}\partial_x^{\alpha_1+1}$, then applying Lemma 5.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\,\partial_x^{2k+2}\partial_y\partial_x^{\alpha_1+1} g\right\|_{L^2} &\leq C\left\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\,\partial_y\partial_x^{2k}\partial_x^{\alpha_1+1} f\right\|_{L^2} + C\left\|\partial_x\partial_y\partial_x^{2k+\alpha_1+1} g\right\|_{L^2}\\ &\leq C\left\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\,\partial_y\partial_x^{2k}\partial_x^{\alpha_1+1} f\right\|_{L^2} + C\left\|\partial_x^{m-2} f\right\|_{L^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus substituting the above estimate into (5.18) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\,\partial_x\partial^\alpha g\right\|_{L^2} &\leq C\sum_{j=0}^{k}\left\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\,\partial_x^{2k-2j}\partial_y^{2j+1}\partial_x^{\alpha_1+1} f\right\|_{L^2} + C\left\|\partial_x^{m-2} f\right\|_{L^2}\\ &\leq C\sum_{\substack{l\in\mathbb{N}_0,|\beta|=m-1\\ \beta'-\alpha'=2l+1}}\left\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\,\partial^\beta f\right\|_{L^2} + C\left\|\partial_x^{m-2} f\right\|_{L^2}.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, observe

$$\begin{aligned}\langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}\,\partial_y\partial^\alpha g &= \langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}\,\partial_y^{2(k+1)+2}\partial^{\alpha_1} g\\ &= \langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}\,(-1)^{k+2}\partial_x^{2k+4}\partial_x^{\alpha_1} g - \langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}\sum_{j=0}^{k+1}(-1)^{k+1-j}\partial_x^{2k+2-2j}\partial_y^{2j}\partial_x^{\alpha_1} f.\end{aligned} \tag{5.19}$$

Then, letting $\phi = \partial_x^{2k+2}\partial_x^{\alpha_1} g$ and applying Lemma 5.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}\,\partial_x^{2k+4}\partial_x^{\alpha_1} g\right\|_{L^2} &\leq C\left\|\langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}\,\partial_x^{2k+2}\partial_x^{\alpha_1} f\right\|_{L^2} + C\left\|\partial_y\partial_x^{2k+2+\alpha_1} g\right\|_{L^2}\\ &\leq C\left\|\langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}\,\partial_x^{2k+2}\partial_x^{\alpha_1} f\right\|_{L^2} + C\left\|\partial_x^{m-2} f\right\|_{L^2},\end{aligned}$$

which along with (5.19) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}\,\partial_y\partial^\alpha g\right\|_{L^2} &\leq C\sum_{j=0}^{k+1}\left\|\langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}\,\partial_x^{2k+2-2j}\partial_y^{2j}\partial_x^{\alpha_1} f\right\|_{L^2} + C\left\|\partial_x^{m-2} f\right\|_{L^2}\\ &\leq C\sum_{\substack{l\in\mathbb{N}_0,|\beta|=m-1\\ \beta'-\alpha'=2l+1}}\left\|\langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}\,\partial^\beta f\right\|_{L^2} + C\left\|\partial_x^{m-2} f\right\|_{L^2}.\end{aligned}$$

So in this case Lemma 5.3 is also proved. Thus for all α such that $|\alpha| = m$ we have proved Lemma 5.3. □

Now we come to the proof of Lemma 3.3.

Proof of Lemma 3.3. Apply Lemma 5.3 with equation (5.1)-(5.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P} &= \sum_{m=3}^{\infty} \frac{\tau^{m-3}}{(m-3)!^s} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \left(\left\| \langle y \rangle^{\ell_y} \partial_x \partial^\alpha p \right\|_{L^2} + \left\| \langle x \rangle^{\ell_x} \partial_y \partial^\alpha p \right\|_{L^2} \right) \\
&\leq C \sum_{m=3}^{\infty} \frac{\tau^{m-3}}{(m-3)!^s} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \left(\sum_{\substack{l \in \mathbb{N}_0, |\beta|=m-1 \\ \beta'-\alpha'=2l+1}} \left\| \langle y \rangle^{\ell_y} \partial^\beta h \right\|_{L^2} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{\substack{l \in \mathbb{N}_0, |\beta|=m-1 \\ \beta'-\alpha'=2l}} \left\| \langle x \rangle^{\ell_x} \partial^\beta h \right\|_{L^2} + C \left\| \partial_x^{m-2} h \right\|_{L^2} \right) \\
&\leq C \sum_{m=3}^{\infty} \frac{m\tau^{m-3}}{(m-3)!^s} \left(\sum_{|\beta|=m-1} \left\| \langle y \rangle^{\ell_y} \partial^\beta h \right\|_{L^2} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{|\beta|=m-1} \left\| \langle x \rangle^{\ell_x} \partial^\beta h \right\|_{L^2} + \left\| \partial_x^{m-2} h \right\|_{L^2} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where we denote $h = 2(\partial_x v)\partial_y u - 2(\partial_x u)\partial_y v$. If we exchange the order of the summation, we can obtain, by direct verification,

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=m} \sum_{\substack{l \in \mathbb{N}_0, |\beta|=m-1 \\ \beta'-\alpha'=2l+1}} \left\| \langle y \rangle^{\ell_y} \partial^\beta h \right\|_{L^2} \leq Cm \sum_{|\beta|=m-1} \left\| \langle y \rangle^{\ell_y} \partial^\beta h \right\|_{L^2}$$

and

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=m} \sum_{\substack{l \in \mathbb{N}_0, |\beta|=m-1 \\ \beta'-\alpha'=2l}} \left\| \langle x \rangle^{\ell_x} \partial^\beta h \right\|_{L^2} \leq Cm \sum_{|\beta|=m-1} \left\| \langle x \rangle^{\ell_x} \partial^\beta h \right\|_{L^2}.$$

And direct computation also gives

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=m} \left\| \partial_x^{m-2} h \right\|_{L^2} \leq m \left\| \partial_x^{m-2} h \right\|_{L^2}.$$

Recall $h = -2(\partial_x u)\partial_y v + 2(\partial_y u)\partial_x v$, then we have, for arbitrary $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$

$$\left\| \langle y \rangle^{\ell_y} \partial^\beta h \right\|_{L^2} \leq C \sum_{\gamma \leq \beta} \binom{\beta}{\gamma} \left\| \langle y \rangle^{\ell_y} |\partial^\gamma \nabla u| |\partial^{\beta-\gamma} \nabla v| \right\|_{L^2},$$

and

$$\left\| \langle x \rangle^{\ell_x} \partial^\beta h \right\|_{L^2} \leq C \sum_{\gamma \leq \beta} \binom{\beta}{\gamma} \left\| \langle x \rangle^{\ell_x} |\partial^\gamma \nabla u| |\partial^{\beta-\gamma} \nabla v| \right\|_{L^2}.$$

So with these inequalities, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}_w &= C\sum_{m=3}^{\infty}\frac{m\tau^{m-3}}{(m-3)!^s}\sum_{|\beta|=m-1}\left(\left\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\partial^\beta h\right\|_{L^2}+\left\|\langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}\partial^\beta h\right\|_{L^2}\right)\\ &\le C\sum_{m=3}^{\infty}\frac{m\tau^{m-3}}{(m-3)!^s}\sum_{\substack{|\beta|=m-1\\0\le\gamma\le\beta}}\binom{\beta}{\gamma}\left(\left\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}|\partial^\gamma\nabla u||\partial^{\beta-\gamma}\nabla v|\right\|_{L^2}\right.\\ &\quad\left.+\left\|\langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}|\partial^\gamma\nabla u||\partial^{\beta-\gamma}\nabla v|\right\|_{L^2}\right)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}_x &= C\sum_{m=3}^{\infty}\frac{m\tau^{m-3}}{(m-3)!^s}\left\|\partial_x^{m-2}h\right\|_{L^2}\\ &\le C\sum_{m=3}^{\infty}\frac{m\tau^{m-3}}{(m-3)!^s}\sum_{0\le j\le m-2}\binom{m-2}{j}\left\||\partial_x^j\nabla u||\partial_x^{m-2-j}\nabla v|\right\|_{L^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\mathcal{P}\le\mathcal{P}_w+\mathcal{P}_x.$$

The rest part is to estimate $\mathcal{P}_w$ and $\mathcal{P}_x$.

We first estimate $\mathcal{P}_w$. To do so we split the summation into

$$\mathcal{P}_w\le C\sum_{m=3}^{\infty}\sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\mathcal{P}_{w,m,j},\tag{5.20}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}_{w,m,j} &= \frac{m\tau^{m-3}}{(m-3)!^s}\sum_{|\beta|=m-1}\sum_{|\gamma|=j}\binom{m-1}{j}\left(\left\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}|\partial^\gamma\nabla u||\partial^{\beta-\gamma}\nabla v|\right\|_{L^2}\right.\\ &\quad\left.+\left\|\langle x\rangle^{\ell_x}|\partial^\gamma\nabla u||\partial^{\beta-\gamma}\nabla v|\right\|_{L^2}\right)\end{aligned}$$

Moreover we split the right side of (5.20) into seven terms according to the values of m and j. For lower j, we have

$$\sum_{m=3}^{\infty}\mathcal{P}_{w,m,0}\le C\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_{1,\ell_x,\ell_y,\infty}\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_{3,\ell_x,\ell_y}+C\tau\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_{1,\ell_x,\ell_y,\infty}\left\|\mathbf{u}\right\|_{Y_{\tau,\ell_x,\ell_y}},$$

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{m=3}^{\infty}\mathcal{P}_{w,m,1} &\le C\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_{2,\ell_x,\ell_y,\infty}\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_{2,\ell_x,\ell_y}+C\tau\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_{2,\ell_x,\ell_y,\infty}\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_{3,\ell_x,\ell_y}\\ &\quad+C\tau^2\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_{2,\ell_x,\ell_y,\infty}\left\|\mathbf{u}\right\|_{Y_{\tau,\ell_x,\ell_y}}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sum_{m=5}^{\infty}\mathcal{P}_{w,m,2}\le C\tau^2\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_{3,\ell_x,\ell_y,\infty}\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_{3,\ell_x,\ell_y}+C\tau^3\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_{3,\ell_x,\ell_y,\infty}\left\|\mathbf{u}\right\|_{Y_{\tau,\ell_x,\ell_y}}.$$

For intermediate j, we have

$$\sum_{m=8}^{\infty}\sum_{j=3}^{[m/2]-1}\mathcal{P}_{w,m,j}\le C(\tau^2+\tau^{5/2}+\tau^3)\left\|\mathbf{u}\right\|_{X_{\tau,\ell_x,\ell_y}}\left\|\mathbf{u}\right\|_{Y_{\tau,\ell_x,\ell_y}},$$

and

$$\sum_{m=6}^{\infty}\sum_{j=[m/2]}^{m-3}\mathcal{P}_{w,m,j}\le C(\tau^2+\tau^{5/2}+\tau^3)\left\|\mathbf{u}\right\|_{X_{\tau,\ell_x,\ell_y}}\left\|\mathbf{u}\right\|_{Y_{\tau,\ell_x,\ell_y}}.$$

For higher j, we have

$$\sum_{m=4}^{\infty}\mathcal{P}_{w,m,m-2}\le C\tau\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_{2,\ell_x,\ell_y,\infty}\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_{3,\ell_x,\ell_y}+C\tau^2\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_{2,\ell_x,\ell_y,\infty}\left\|\mathbf{u}\right\|_{Y_{\tau,\ell_x,\ell_y}}$$

and

$$\sum_{m=3}^{\infty}\mathcal{P}_{w,m,m-1}\le C\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_{1,\ell_x,\ell_y,\infty}\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_{3,\ell_x,\ell_y}+C\tau\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_{1,\ell_x,\ell_y,\infty}\left\|\mathbf{u}\right\|_{Y_{\tau,\ell_x,\ell_y}}.$$

In these estimations we used the fact that for vector function $\mathbf{u}=(u,v)$, the norm of u or v can be bounded by the norm of $\mathbf{u}$, for example

$$\left\|\langle y\rangle^{\ell_y}\nabla\partial^{\gamma}u\right\|_{L^\infty}\le\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_{|\gamma|+1,\ell_x,\ell_y,\infty}.$$

With this consideration the estimations can be proved similarly by the method of [8] and the arguments of the commutator estimates, and we omit the details.

To estimate $\mathcal{P}_x$, we proceed as above, and write

$$\mathcal{P}_x\le C\sum_{m=3}^{\infty}\sum_{j=0}^{m-2}\mathcal{P}_{x,m,j},$$

where

$$\mathcal{P}_{x,m,j}=\frac{m\tau^{m-3}}{(m-3)!^s}\binom{m-2}{j}\left\|\left|\partial_x^j\nabla u\right|\left|\partial_x^{m-j-2}\nabla v\right|\right\|_{L^2}.$$

For lower j, we have

$$\sum_{m=3}^{\infty}\mathcal{P}_{x,m,0}\le C\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_{1,\infty}\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_2+C\tau\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_{1,\infty}\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_3+C\tau^2\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_{1,\infty}\left\|\mathbf{u}\right\|_{Y_\tau},$$

$$\sum_{m=4}^{\infty}\mathcal{P}_{x,m,1}\le C\tau\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_{2,\infty}\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_2+C\tau^2\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_{2,\infty}\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_3+C\tau^3\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_{2,\infty}\left\|\mathbf{u}\right\|_{Y_\tau},$$

$$\sum_{m=6}^{\infty}\mathcal{P}_{x,m,2}\le C\tau^3\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_{3,\infty}\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_3+C\tau^4\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_{3,\infty}\left\|\mathbf{u}\right\|_{Y_\tau}.$$

For mediate j, we have

$$\sum_{m=8}^{\infty}\sum_{j=3}^{[m/2]-1}\mathcal{P}_{x,m,j}\le C\tau^3\left\|\mathbf{u}\right\|_{X_\tau}\left\|\mathbf{u}\right\|_{Y_\tau}$$

$$\sum_{m=6}^{\infty}\sum_{j=[m/2]}^{m-3}\mathcal{P}_{x,m,j}\le C\tau^3\left\|\mathbf{u}\right\|_{X_\tau}\left\|\mathbf{u}\right\|_{Y_\tau}.$$

Finally for higher j, we have

$$\sum_{m=5}^{\infty}\mathcal{P}_{x,m,m-2}\le C\tau^2\left|\mathbf{u}\right|_{1,\infty}\left\|\mathbf{u}\right\|_{Y_\tau}.$$

These estimations can be proved similarly as [8] with the fact that $\|\mathbf{u}\|_{X_\tau}\le\|\mathbf{u}\|_{X_{\tau,\ell_x,\ell_y}}$, $\|\mathbf{u}\|_{Y_\tau}\le\|\mathbf{u}\|_{Y_{\tau,\ell_x,\ell_y}}$ and $\|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^m}\le\|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^m_{\ell_x,\ell_y}}$. With all these estimations, we can complete the proof Lemma 3.3. □

Acknowledgments. W. Li would like to appreciate the support from NSF of China (No. 11422106), and C.-J. Xu was partially supported by "the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities" and the NSF of China (No. 11171261).

References

[1] J.-Y. Chemin, B. Desjardins, I. Gallagher and E. Grenier. Mathematical geophysics. An introduction to rotating fluids and the Navier-Stokes equations. *Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications, 32. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, Oxford.2006*

[2] J.P.Bourguignon, H.Brezis. *Remarks on the Euler equation*, J. Functional Analysis **15**(1974), 341-363.

[3] H. Chen, W.-X. Li and C.-J. Xu , *Gevrey regularity of subelliptic Monge-Ampére equations in the plane* Advances in Mathematics **228**(2011) 1816-1841

[4] H. Chen, W.-X. Li and C.-J. Xu, *Gevrey hypoellipticity for a class of kinetic equations* . Communications in Partial Differential Equations **36** (2011) 693-728.

[5] H. Chen, W.-X. Li and C.-J. Xu, *Analytic smoothness effect of solutions for spatially homogeneous Landau equation* , Journal of Differential Equations **248** (2010) 77-94.

[6] H. Chen, W.-X. Li and C.-J. Xu *Gevrey hypoellipticity for linear and non-linear Fokker-Planck equations*, Journal of Differential Equations **246** (2009), 320- 339.

[7] D.G.Ebin, J.E.Marsden. *Groups of diffeomorphisms and the solution of the classical Euler equations for a perfect fluid*, Bull.Amer.Math.Soc.**75**(1969),962-967.

[8] I.Kukavica, V.Vicol. *The domain of analyticity of solutions to three-dimensional euler equations in a half space*, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems, Volume **29**, Number 1(2011), 285-303.

[9] I.Kukavica and V.Vicol. *On the analyticity and Gevrey class regularity up to the boundary for the Euler equation*, Nonlinearity. Volume **24**, Number 3 (2011), 765-796.

[10] T.Kato. *Nonstationary flows of viscous and idear fluids in* $\mathbb{R}^3$, J. Functional Analysis **9**(1972),296-305.

[11] T.Kato. *on classical solutions of two dimensional nonstationary Euler equations*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. Vol **25**(1967), 188-220.

[12] M.Taylor. *Partial Differential Equations III. Nonlinear Equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.

[13] R.Temam. *On the Euler equations of incompressible perfect fluids*, J. Functional Analysis **20**(1975),no.1, 32-43.

[14] C.Foias, U.Frisch, R.Temam. *Existence de solutions C^∞ des équations d'Euler*, C.R.Acad.Sci.Paris.Sér.A-B **280** (1975),A505-A508.

[15] V.I.Yudovich. *Non stationary flow of an ideal incompressible liquid*, Zh. Vych. Mat. **3**(1963), 1032-1066.

Feng Cheng, School of Mathematics and Statistics, Wuhan University, 430072 Wuhan, China

E-mail address: chengfengwhu@whu.edu.cn

Wei-Xi Li, School of Mathematics and Statistics, and Computational Science Hubei Key Laboratory, Wuhan University, 430072 Wuhan, China

E-mail address: wei-xi.li@whu.edu.cn

Chao-Jiang Xu, School of Mathematics and Statistics, Wuhan University, 430072 Wuhan, China

E-mail address: chjxu.math@whu.edu.cn